#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 2 ROCHELLE YOUNG, 3 **Plaintiff Case No.:** 4 **COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR** 5 v. **JURY TRIAL** MEDICREDIT, INC., 6 (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 7 Defendant 8 9 **COMPLAINT** 10 ROCHELLE YOUNG ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL & 11 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against MEDICREDIT, INC. ("Defendant"): 12 13 **INTRODUCTION** 14 Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 15 § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA") and the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. 16 ("TCPA"). 17 18 19 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE** 20 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that 21 such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 22 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 23 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 24 25

- Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Austin, Texas 78723.
- Plaintiff is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. 153 (39).
- Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- Defendant is a debt collection company located at 3 City Place Drive, Suite 6900,
  - Defendant is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39.
- 10. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6), who repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C.
- 11. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers.

- At all pertinent times hereto, Defendant was hired to collect a consumer debt and
- Defendant collects, and attempts to collect, debts incurred, or alleged to have been incurred, for personal, family, or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the U.S. Mail,

- 14. The debt at issue, a medical bill, arose out of transactions primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 15. By way of background, beginning in or around January 2016, Defendant began placing telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone concerning an alleged medical debt.
- 16. Defendant's calls originated from the numbers including, but not limited to; (800) 888-2238. The undersigned has confirmed that this number belongs to Defendant.
- 17. Frustrated by the repeated calls, Plaintiff told Defendant to stop calling in March 2016, revoking any consent Defendant may have had to call Plaintiff on her cellular telephone.
- 18. Once Defendant knew its calls were unwanted any further calls could only have been for the purposes of harassing Plaintiff.
- 19. Thereafter, Defendant ignored Plaintiff's request and continued to call through April 2016.
- 20. When calling Plaintiff, Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system, automated message and/or prerecorded voice.
- 21. Plaintiff knew that she was using an automatic telephone dialing system, automated message and/or prerecorded voice as Defendant's calls would begin with a prerecorded message.
  - 22. Defendant's telephone calls were not made for "emergency purposes."
- 23. Finally, in order to stop Defendant's calls, Plaintiff was forced to download a call blocking application to her cellular telephone to block Defendant's calls.

## 

## COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 24. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraph, violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d and 1692d(5).
  - a. A debt collector violates § 1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct of the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
  - b. A debt collector violates § 1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
  - c. Here, Defendant violated §§ 1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA by repeatedly contacting Plaintiff on her cellular telephone when it knew its calls were unwanted.

# COUNT II DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated the
   Telephone Consumer Protection Act.
- 26. Under § 227(b)(3)(A) of the TCPA, a person or entity may bring a private cause of action in an appropriate court based on a violation of the TCPA or the regulations prescribed under the TCPA to enjoin such violation.

- 27. Under § 227(b)(3)(B) of the TCPA, a person or entity may bring a private cause of action in an appropriate court "to recover for actual monetary loss from such a violation, or to receive \$500 in damages for each such violation whichever is greater."
- 28. Despite the fact that Plaintiff revoked consent in March 2016 for Defendant to place calls to her, Defendant repeatedly placed non-emergency calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 29. Based upon the conduct of Defendant, Plaintiff avers that the enhancement of damages provided for by the TCPA allowing for Plaintiff to recover up to \$1,500 per call/violation be applied to calls placed.
- 30. Defendant's conduct violated § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) of the TCPA by placing repeated calls using an automatic telephone dialing system to Plaintiff's cellular telephone without prior express consent.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, ROCHELLE YOUNG respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. \$1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1693k(a)(3);
- d. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per telephone call in violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
- e. Statutory damages of up to \$1,500 for each call in violation of the TCPA, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §§ 227(c)(5)(B) and 227(c)(5)(C), which permits the

### Case 1:17-cv-00273-SS Document 1 Filed 03/30/17 Page 6 of 6

1	Court in its discretion to award such damages if it finds that Defendan
2	willfully or knowingly violated the TCPA; and
3	f. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.
4	
5	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
6	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, ROCHELLE YOUNG, demands a jury trial in
7	this case.
8	
9	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
10	By: /s/ Amy L. B. Ginsburg
11	AMY L. B. GINSBURG, ESQUIRE Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
12	30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002
13	Phone: (215) 540-8888 ext. 148
14	Fax: (877) 788-2864 <u>aginsburg@creditlaw.com</u>
15	Date: March 30, 2017
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22   23	
23	
25	